

**Appl. No. 10/600,991
Amdt. dated March 22, 2010
Reply to Decision on Appeal of March 9, 2010**

REMARKS

Applicants have received the Decision on Appeal dated March 9, 2010 in which the Board affirmed the rejections of claims 1-3 and 5-9. Claims 4 and 10-25 were allowed or effectively allowed, and thus not appealed. With this Amendment After Decision on Appeal, Applicants re-write claim 4 into independent form, and cancel claims 1-3 and 5-9. Claim 4 already contained the amended limitations by virtue of its previous dependency. Thus, claims 4 and 10-25 should be in a condition for allowance.

It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Conley Rose, P.C. Deposit Account No. 03-2769.

Respectfully submitted,

/mes/
Mark E. Scott
PTO Reg. No. 43,100
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS